

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,013 12/21/2004		12/21/2004	Alberto Lodolo	KAR 007 7643	
39232	7590	10/24/2006		EXAMINER .	
Serafini A 7660 FAY		H378	JACYNA, J	JACYNA, J CASIMER	
LA JOLLA, CA 92037				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 10/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1.
V

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Commence	10/519,013	LODOLO, ALBERTO						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	J. Casimer Jacyna	3754						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Se	eptember 2006.							
	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Diamonidian of Olaina	• , , , ,							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
<u> </u>	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27 and 31-41</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) <u>28-30</u> is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	nriority under 35 LLS C & 119(a)	-(d) or (f)						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 33 0.3.0. § 119(a)	-(d) 01 (1).						
	s have been received							
	= = ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
occ the attached detailed office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) 🔯 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/6/2006.	6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/519,013 Page 2

Art Unit: 3754

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wynn (2,880,961). Wynn discloses a diaphragm valve including a concave convex dome 24 shaped like an ellipsoid as shown in the top view of figure 13 at 24b with a substantially rectangular sealing flange 24d, 24e and a stiffening rib 24k.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 10, 11, 15, 18, 19, 21, 22, 26, 27 and 31-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsutani (3,349,795) in view of Rumsey (4,214,604). Matsutani discloses a diaphragm valve including circular entry ports 31 (see figure 9f), inlet sleeves with converging arched profiles as shown at 34, a fluid flow chamber 46, a body clamping flange 55, a bonnet 18, a bonnet clamping flange 25, a flattened central valve seat at the peak of the arched profiles 54 (note the profile of the valve seat in Matsutani figures 7 or 9a is approximately the same as the profile shown in figure 1 of the disclosure, and the surface of the valve seat in figure 9b of Matsutani is approximately the same as figure 2 of the disclosure), an elastomeric diaphragm 12, a concave convex dome 13 with the concave side oriented toward the valve seat in the

Application/Control Number: 10/519,013

Art Unit: 3754

unstressed position as shown in figure 1b, a means for compressing 14, with the inlet and outlet sleeves having semi-circular shapes at the fluid flow chamber as shown at 46 in figure 9b wherein the overall shape of the valve seat area is circular as shown in the top view of figure 9b (note that when circles are viewed from an angle they appear elliptical as shown in figure 10). Consequently, Matsutani discloses a diaphragm valve substantially as claimed but does not disclose an elliptical shape for the valve seat. However, Rumsey discloses another diaphragm valve having en elliptical shape for the diaphragm and a rectangular shape for the sealing flange for the purpose of improving fluid flow parameters. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the valve of Matsutani with an elliptically shaped diaphragm and a rectangular sealing flange as, for example, taught by Rumsey in order to improve fluid flow parameters.

Page 3

5. Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsutani (3,349,795) in view of Rumsey (4,214,604) as applied to claim 10 above and further in view of Stack (4,538,638). Matsutani discloses a diaphragm valve substantially as claimed but does not disclose any tabs or bosses. However, Stack teaches another diaphragm valve having a bosses 25, 26 with extending tabs on the sealing flange that fit into the depressions behind the bosses for the purpose of more securely attaching the seal. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the valve of Matsutani with tabs and bosses as, for example, taught by Stack in order to more securely attach the seal.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,013

Art Unit: 3754

6. Claims 12-14, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsutani (3,349,795) in view of Rumsey (4,214,604) as applied to claim 10 above and further in view of Gotch et al. (6,189,861). Matsutani discloses a diaphragm valve substantially as claimed but does not disclose any projections, teeth, tabs or bosses. However, Gotch teaches another diaphragm valve having a projection 26c on the bonnet 26 for holding diaphragm 28 wherein projection 26c is in the shape of a tooth and could be described as a boss or a tab that extends around the entire periphery of the diaphragm bonnet for the purpose of more securely attaching the seal. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the valve of Matsutani with a bonnet projection as, for example, taught by Gotch in order to more securely attach the seal.

Page 4

- 7. Claim 41 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsutani (3,349,795) in view of Rumsey (4,214,604) as applied to claim 1 above and further in view of Walton et al. (6,102,071). Matsutani discloses a diaphragm valve substantially as claimed but does not disclose a measuring device. However, Walton teaches another diaphragm valve having a measuring device 186 for the purpose of indicating the rate of flow through the valve. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the valve of Matsutani with a measuring device as, for example, taught by Walton in order to indicate the rate of flow through the valve.
- 8. Claims 1-12, 15, 18-21, 23-27 and 31-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frenkel (6,095,484) in view of Rumsey (4,214,604). Frenkel

Art Unit: 3754

discloses a diaphragm valve including circular entry ports marked INLET, OUTLET in figure 5, converging arched profiles leading to a flat valve seat 5 as shown in figures 5 and 6, a fluid flow chamber adjacent 24, a body clamping flange 18, a bonnet 19, a bonnet clamping flange shown at 20 in figure 5, a flattened central valve seat at the peak of the arched profiles 5 (note the profile of the valve seat in Frenkel figures 5 or 6 is approximately the same as the profile shown in figure 1 of the disclosure), an elastomeric diaphragm 14, a concave convex dome 9 with the concave side oriented toward the valve seat in the unstressed position as shown in figure 6, a means for compressing 21, and a measuring device (see claim 41) 22, with the inlet and outlet sleeves having semi-circular shapes at the fluid flow chamber as shown in figure 4 wherein the overall shape of the valve seat area is circular as shown in the top view of figure 4 substantially as claimed but does not disclose an elliptical valve seat with the inlet and outlet sleeves having semi-elliptical shapes at the fluid flow chamber. However, Rumsey discloses another diaphragm valve having en elliptical shape for the diaphragm and a rectangular shape for the sealing flange for the purpose of improving fluid flow parameters. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the valve of Frenkel with an elliptically shaped diaphragm and a rectangular sealing flange as, for example, taught by Rumsey in order to improve fluid flow parameters. In regard to claims 3-9 and 25, Frenkel discloses ribs 8, 9, 11 and 12, which ribs inherently serve to prevent bulging and perform the function of a spring.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,013

Art Unit: 3754

9. Claims 13, 14, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frenkel (6,095,484) in view of Rumsey (4,214,604) as applied to claim 10 above and further in view of Gotch et al. (6,189,861). Frenkel discloses a diaphragm valve substantially as claimed but does not disclose any projections, teeth, tabs or bosses. However, Gotch teaches another diaphragm valve having a projection 26c on the bonnet 26 for holding diaphragm 28 wherein projection 26c is in the shape of a tooth and could be described as a boss or a tab that extends around the entire periphery of the diaphragm bonnet for the purpose of more securely attaching the seal. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the valve of Frenkel with a bonnet projection as, for example, taught by Gotch in order to more securely attach the seal.

Page 6

10. Applicant's arguments filed 9/6/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant contends that the diaphragm of Frenkel is a two storey diaphragm that is different from the disclosed construction. However, there is no claim language that excludes the diaphragm from having an additional storey and Frenkel discloses the concave, convex diaphragm as claimed. Rumsey clearly teaches the use of an elliptical flow chamber, valve seat and diaphragm construction regardless of whether or not the specific valve structure is disclosed. It would have been obvious to apply the teachings of an elliptical chamber of Rumsey to Matsutani and Frenkel who do teach the arched valve seat construction.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,013 Page 7

Art Unit: 3754

11. Claims 28-30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Casimer Jacyna whose telephone number is 571-272-4889. The examiner can normally be reached on Wed. thru Fri. 9AM-7PM, Mon. 7AM-1PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on 571-272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

J. Casimer Jacyna Primary Examiner Art Unit 3754